

DIFFERENCE BETWEEN TRADITIONAL AND MODERN TEACHING METHODS

<https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.10033371>

Shodieva Maftunabonu Adizovna

Asia International University, English chair

Email: shodiyevamaftunabonuadizovna@oxu.uz

Abstract

Teaching methods play an important role in shaping the education system. In this article, the traditional and modern teaching methods are compared and differences between them can be understood. The traditional approach emphasizes a teacher-centered approach, while the modern approach focuses on student-centered learning. The impact of each method on classroom dynamics, student engagement, and learning outcomes are analyzed in this paper.

Key words

teaching, learning, traditional, method, technology, approach, analyze.

Introduction

Education has evolved over the years, and with it, the teaching methods have also undergone a significant transformation. Traditional teaching methods have been the cornerstone of education for centuries, but the modern teaching methods have revolutionized the way knowledge is imparted. This article aims to explore the key differences between the traditional and modern teaching methods to understand their impact on the learning process.

Traditional Teaching Methods. Traditional teaching methods revolve around a teacher-centered approach, where the teacher is considered the sole source of knowledge and information. These methods primarily involve lectures, note-taking, and rote memorization. The teacher is the authority figure and imparts knowledge through one-way communication. Students are passive recipients of information and have limited autonomy over their learning process.

Modern Teaching Methods. Modern teaching methods focus on a student-centered approach, wherein students actively participate in the learning process. These methods encourage critical thinking, problem-solving, and collaboration. The teacher assumes the role of a facilitator who guides students on their learning journey. Modern methods employ various techniques such as group discussions,

project-based learning, and hands-on activities to enhance student engagement and learning outcomes.

Key Differences

Classroom Dynamics. Traditional methods create a hierarchical relationship between the teacher and the students, where the teacher dominates the classroom and students are passive listeners. In contrast, modern methods promote a collaborative and inclusive learning environment, where the teacher and students interact as equals. Students are encouraged to ask questions, express their thoughts, and engage in discussions.

Student Engagement. Traditional methods often result in passive learning, where students may lose interest and struggle to relate to the subject matter. Modern methods, on the other hand, foster active learning by involving students in practical activities, discussions, and real-life problem-solving. This promotes higher levels of engagement and motivation among students.

Learning Outcomes. While both traditional and modern teaching methods aim to impart knowledge, modern methods have been found to be more effective in achieving deeper understanding and long-term retention. Modern methods emphasize critical thinking, creativity, and independent learning, which enhances students' ability to apply their knowledge in real-world scenarios.

Conclusion

The shift from traditional to modern teaching methods has redefined education by favoring a student-centered approach. The traditional method of passive learning through lectures and rote memorization is being gradually replaced by modern methods that focus on active learning, critical thinking, and collaboration. Modern teaching methods result in increased student engagement, better comprehension, and improved learning outcomes. As technology continues to advance, it is expected that modern teaching methods will further evolve, revolutionizing the education landscape.

REFERENCES:

1. Shodieva, M. (2023). DEVELOPING SUMMARY WRITING SKILL IN ACADEMIC WRITING. *Modern Science and Research*, 2(9), 140-144.
2. Berdiyeva, S. (2023). THE IMPORTANCE OF ROLE PLAYING ACTIVITIES IN IMPROVING LEARNERS' LANGUAGE SKILLS. *Modern Science and Research*, 2(9), 75-78.

3. Ismatovna, I. L. Goals and Techniques for Teaching Grammar. International Journal of Scientific Research and Modern Education, 4(2), 33-34.

4. Qoryog'diyev, Z. O. (2016). ACTIVITIES OF AMUDARYA FLOTILLA AT THE END OF THE NINETEENTH CENTURY-BEGINNING OF THE TWENTIETH CENTURY HISTORY ("TURKESTAN COLLECTION" MATERIALS). Ученый XXI века, (12), 20-22.

5. OXUNJONOVICH, Q. Z. (2022). CHANGES OF LYULI IDENTITY. International Journal of Philosophical Studies and Social Sciences, 2(3), 74-83.

6. Okhunjonovich, K. Z. (2021). Self-Awareness of Gypsies: Traditionality and Modernity. American Journal of Social and Humanitarian Research, 2(7), 33-42.

7. Okhunjonovich, K. Z. (2023). THE EDUCATIONAL VALUE OF NATIONAL CUSTOMS AND TRADITIONS IN THE ERA OF GLOBALIZATION. American Journal of Interdisciplinary Research and Development, 18, 64-66.

8. Mavlanova Mehnbonu Obid qizi, & Koryog'diyev Zufar Okhunjonovich. (2023). THE ROLE OF NATIONAL VALUES AND SPIRITUAL AND EDUCATIONAL WORKS IN THE EDUCATION OF THE YOUNG GENERATION. Spectrum Journal of Innovation, Reforms and Development, 17, 81-84. Retrieved from

9. OXUNJONOVICH, Q. Z. (2022). CHANGES OF LYULI IDENTITY. International Journal of Philosophical Studies and Social Sciences, 2(3), 74-83.

10. Orifjonovich, O. A. (2023). METAFORANING KO'P TARMOQLI VA FANLARARO O'RGANILISHI.

11. Sulaymonovna, Q. N., Tashpulatovna, K. M., & Orifjonovich, O. A. (2023). COGNITIVE AND LINGUOCULTURAL CHARACTERISTICS OF METAPHORS. Finland International Scientific Journal of Education, Social Science & Humanities, 11(3), 849-854.

12. Khalilova, K. (2023). MASTERING READING COMPREHENSION: A VITAL SKILL FOR ACADEMIC SUCCESS. B ACADEMIC RESEARCH IN MODERN SCIENCE (T. 2, Выпуск 23, сс. 83-89). Zenodo.

13. Nosirova, D. (2023). LEARNING GRAMMAR THROUGH CONTEXT: ENHANCING LANGUAGE PROFICIENCY. Modern Science and Research, 2(7), 349-351. Retrieved from <https://inlibrary.uz/index.php/science-research/article/view/22235>

14. Murodova, D. (2023). THE CONCEPT OF THE WORDS "GENEROSITY AND COWARDICE" IN THE LAK LANGUAGES. Modern Science and Research, 2(6), 1147-1149.

15. Zayniddinova, T. N. (2021). The Image of the Eastern Ruler in the Works of Christopher Marlowe. *Central Asian Journal Of Social Sciences And History*, 2(10), 10-14.

16. Tursunova, M. (2023). BADIY TARJIMA ASARLARDA ASLIYAT MUAMMOSI TADQIQI. *Interpretation and researches*, 1(8).

17. Tursunova, M. (2023). BADIY TARJIMADA LINGVOKULTUROLOGIK XUSUSIYATLAR. *Журнал: Союз Науки и Образования*, 5(2), 12-15.

18. Fayzullayeva, N. (2023). USAGE OF THE FLORA IN THE EARLY MODERN ENGLISH POETRY. *Modern Science and Research*, 2(9), 36–39. Retrieved from <https://inlibrary.uz/index.php/science-research/article/view/24078>

19. Fayzullayeva, N. S. qizi . (2023). Theoretical Views on the Use of the Term "Concept" in Cognitive Linguistics. *EUROPEAN JOURNAL OF INNOVATION IN NONFORMAL EDUCATION*, 3(5), 27–31. Retrieved from <https://www.inovatus.es/index.php/ejine/article/view/1685>

20. Jabborova Aziza Jobirovna. (2023). THE CATEGORY OF ASPECT IN ENGLISH GRAMMAR. *Academia Science Repository*, 4(05), 10–27. Retrieved from <http://academiascience.com/index.php/repo/article/view/443>

21. Gulamova, D. (2023). USE OF CREATIVE TASKS IN TEACHING THE NATIVE LANGUAGE AT SCHOOL. *Modern Science and Research*, 2(7), 322–327. Retrieved from <https://inlibrary.uz/index.php/science-research/article/view/22179>

22. Gulamova, D. . (2023). ЭВФЕМИЗМЫ КАК ФАКТОР РАЗВИТИЯ КУЛЬТУРЫ РЕЧИ УЧЕНИКОВ. *Modern Science and Research*, 2(8), 231–236. Retrieved from <https://inlibrary.uz/index.php/science-research/article/view/22630>